



Time [s]

#### Acoustic Tremor Measurement: Comparing Two Systems

Markus Brückl | Elvira Ibragimova | Silke Bögelein Institute for Language and Communication | Technische Universität Berlin

10<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Models and Analysis of Vocal Emissions for Biomedical Applications



# Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Methods
  - a) Acoustic synthesis of the test sounds
  - b) The tremor measurement systems
  - c) Statistical methods
- 3. Results
- 4. Discussion
- 5. Conclusion



# Introduction – tremor as a symptom

The <u>ascertainment</u> of tremor (severity) <u>bears</u> a high potential to serve for early <u>diagnosis</u> of several, mostly neuro-<u>degenerative</u> diseases like

- Parkinson's,
- Alzheimer's,
- multiple sclerosis.

**Tremor** often is defined as **involuntary cyclic movement (deviation)** of the limbs, but...



Introduction – vocal tremor

... if it is **caused by deficits** of the **central nervous system**, it is most likely that **speech production** is affected too, since the production of speech involves the coordinated processing of about 1,400 motor commands per second.

→ The more than 80 muscles of the vocal apparatus may all show tremor and thus

 $\rightarrow$  vocal tremor may have many sources.



Introduction – vocal tremor

But once the **acoustic output** is investigated, all of these organic modulation sources combine to **only two types of tremor**: subsonic and <u>quasi</u>-cyclic modulations of the

- **frequency** and of the
- amplitude.



# Introduction – aim of the study

- In spite of the **potential** of (auditive or) acoustic vocal tremor assessment,
- its reliability and therewith its validity still provide great room for improvement.
- $\rightarrow$  Hence, the **aim of this study** is
- to compare two acoustic tremor measurement systems
- according to their criterion validity,
- that is here defined as goodness in measuring <u>synthetically</u> generated and thus known tremor.



# Acoustic synthesis of the test sounds

a completely synthetic sustained vowel with known tremor properties is created by formant synthesis

- the glottal source signal is modelled with
  - 3s duration
  - 200Hz mean fundamental frequency

according to [1] and then

• filtered by a time-invariant 'female'-/a/-shaped filter function

# this /a/-sound serves as the **carrier for the frequency and amplitude modulations**

[1] Rosenberg, A. E., "Effect of glottal pulse shape on the quality of natural vowels", Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 49, 583–590, 1971.



# Acoustic synthesis – the modulation carrier





# Acoustic synthesis of the test sounds

- modulations are done by re-synthesis according to the overlap-and-add method [2]
- both modulation types are modelled with a sinusoidal shape that is varied in frequency and amplitude, resulting in 4 synthesis arguments:
  - frequency tremor frequency (FTrF[Hz])
  - amplitude tremor frequency (ATrF[Hz])
  - (relative) frequency tremor intensity (FTrl[%])
  - (relative) amplitude tremor intensity (ATrI[%])
- each argument is varied in 4 equally spaced steps across each range of naturally occurring values
- both a frequency and an intensity decline are synthesized
- $\rightarrow$  4<sup>6</sup> = 4,096 test sounds

[2] Moulines, E., Charpentier, F., "Pitch-synchronous waveform processing techniques for text-to-speech synthesis using diphones", Speech Communication, 9, 453–467, 1990.

Acoustic Tremor Measurement: Comparing Two Systems | Brückl, Ibragimova, Bögelein | MAVEBA 2017, Florence

Page 9





# Acoustic synthesis – frequency modulation

$$F_0M(t) = F_{0,s} + FTrI \cdot \overline{F}_0 \cdot sin(FTrF \cdot 2\pi \cdot t) - decF \cdot t)$$



Acoustic Tremor Measurement: Comparing Two Systems | Brückl, Ibragimova, Bögelein | MAVEBA 2017, Florence Page 10





# Acoustic synthesis – amplitude modulation

$$AM(t) = A_s + ATrI \cdot \overline{A} \cdot sin(ATrF \cdot 2\pi \cdot t) - decA \cdot t)$$





# Acoustic synthesis – both modulations





# Measurement systems – MDVP's measures

MDVP [3] extracts **4 parameters** of vocal tremor:

- 2 measures of frequency tremor
  - frequency of the strongest low-frequency modulation of the fundamental frequency (Fftr [Hz])
  - mean magnitude of the strongest low-frequency modulation of the fundamental frequency (FTRI [%])
- 2 measures of amplitude tremor
  - frequency of the strongest low-frequency modulation of the amplitude (Fatr [Hz])
  - mean magnitude of the strongest low-frequency modulation of the amplitude (ATRI [%])

[3] Kay Elemetrics Corp. / PENTAX Medical, Multi-Dimensional Voice Program (MDVP), Model 5105 (Version 2.6.2) [Computer program], 1993/2003.

Acoustic Tremor Measurement: Comparing Two Systems | Brückl, Ibragimova, Bögelein | MAVEBA 2017, Florence

Page 13



### Measurement systems – TREMOR.PRAAT

TREMOR.PRAAT 3.01 extracts **14 parameters** of vocal tremor 4 out of these 14 meet the above definitions

- 2 measures of frequency tremor
  - frequency tremor frequency (FTrF)
  - frequency tremor intensity index (FTrI)
- 2 measures of amplitude tremor
  - amplitude tremor frequency (ATrF)
  - amplitude tremor intensity index (ATrl)

#### TREMOR.PRAAT is open-source software and implemented as a Praat [4] script

[4] P. Boersma, D. Weenink, Praat: doing phonetics by computer (Version 6.0.29) [Computer program], Uni-versity of Amsterdam



# Acoustic measurement of vocal tremor with tremor.praat

tremor.praat's algorithm is

- based on autocorrelation of the F<sub>0</sub> contour and the amplitude contour and
- corrected for the declination that is naturally found in both contours
- it is implemented in the script language of the speech-processing program PRAAT
- tremor.praat (version 3.01) can be downloaded from http://brYkl.de/tremor3.01.zip



Acoustic Tremor Measurement: Comparing Two Systems | Brückl, Ibragimova, Bögelein | MAVEBA 2017, Florence Page 16



# Methods – extracting the tremor frequencies

- autocorrelate the (windowed) signal to estimate the  $F_0$ -contour
- use PRAAT's "To Amplitude" function to extract amplitudes per period
- resample these time/duration-varying amplitudes at a constant time rate to derive an amplitude contour
- remove linear declinations of both contours by subtracting the linear regression estimates
- autocorrelate the contours
  - FTrF is the **frequency** of the strongest low-frequency modulation of F<sub>0</sub>
  - ATrF is the **frequency** of the strongest low-frequency modulation of the amplitude (A).
  - [where "strength" is determined by the contours' autocorrelation coefficients]



# Methods – determining the tremor intensity indices

• normalize/relativize the (de-declined) contours by

$$rel. F_0(t) = \frac{F_0(t) - \overline{F}_0}{\overline{F}_0} \qquad rel. A(t) = \frac{A(t) - \overline{A}}{\overline{A}}$$

- the time marks of the contours' extrema are found with PRAAT's built-in function "To PointProcess (peaks)", once the tremor frequencies are known
- intensity indices are then determined by

$$(F,A)TrI = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} |max_i|}{m} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} |min_j|}{n}\right) \div 2$$

# Technische Berlin

Comparison statistic – regressions' determination coefficients

8 simple linear regressions are computed in order to assess the dependence of the 8 measured values on the 4 synthesized values

their determination coefficients (R<sup>2</sup>) denote the **proportion of variance** in the measured values that can be explained by the set values' variance

 $\rightarrow$  they may serve as **coefficients of validity** of the 2 measurement instruments

99.99% **confidence intervals** (CIs) around these coefficients are calculated in order to **indicate if** the populations of corresponding **coefficients differ from another** 



# Results

#### MDVP fails to extract

- amplitude tremor measures in 513 cases and
- frequency tremor measures in 256 cases.

TREMOR.PRAAT achieves to extract all measures from all sounds, and...

TREMOR.PRAAT's measurement errors are highly significantly smaller, i.e. its measures are **highly significantly more valid** than those of the MDVP



#### Results – R<sup>2</sup>s and their CIs



Acoustic Tremor Measurement: Comparing Two Systems | Brückl, Ibragimova, Bögelein | MAVEBA 2017, Florence Page 21



#### **Results – scatterplots**



Page 22



Discussion – errors of TREMOR.PRAAT

- the tremor intensity measures (FTrl and ATrl) exhibit greater underestimations at greater synthetically set values
- if ATrF gets extracted deficiently, then exactly one or two octaves too low
  - $\rightarrow$  avoid by raising the *tremor octave cost*

since both error types are due to the (mandatory) quantization of the tremor contours

 $\rightarrow$  all errors in TREMOR.PRAAT's measurements may be reduced by shortening the *analysis time step* 



Discussion – errors of the MDVP

- errors in the MDVP's extractions seem to be far less systematic
- sources must remain unrevealed, since the MDVP's algorithm is proprietary and thus unknown



Conclusion

TREMOR.PRAAT is still under development, but...

# it is **far more valid in measuring vocal tremor** than the standard program MDVP

 $\rightarrow$  use TREMOR.PRAAT for acoustic tremor measurement  $\rightarrow$  re-measure formerly gained results based on the MDVP



Questions



- send an email to: markus.brueckl@tu-berlin.de
- download tremor.praat: http://brYkl.de/tremor3.01.zip